

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/601,151	07/27/2000	TOSHIHARU KONDOU	450108-02174	6991	
20999 7	590 06/22/2005		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			TRAN, THAI Q		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2616		
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan.		09/601,151	KONDOU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thai Tran	2616				
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	ation appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ad	dress			
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, sions of time may be available under the provisions of SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will enly received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I, by statute, cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>28 February 2005</u> .					
		) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	·-						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration					
Application	on Papers						
10)🖾 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Infection of the drawing (s) filed on 27 July 2000 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet (s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o on to the drawing(s) be held in ab ne correction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ <i>A</i> a)∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received the priority documents have be all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage			
Attachment	(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date	)-948)Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTC: :	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/601,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al (US 6,661,965) in view of Murakami et al (WO98/3,6566 and see US 6,564,002 B1 for translation).

Application/Control Number: 09/601,151

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 1, as discussed in the last Office Action, Yamamoto discloses an editing apparatus (Fig. 2) for editing material data recorded on a tape-shaped recording medium, comprising:

fast access means (rewinding and fast-forwarding disclosed in col. 5, lines 46-53 and col. 6, lines 45-57) for detecting a marker recorded on said tape-shaped recording medium by a fast access to said tape-shaped recording medium;

data registering means (storing the near-in-point material objects IM and the near-out-point material object OM on the hard disk unit 2 disclosed in col. 6, lines 26-35 and in col. 7, lines 14-20) for registering said material data, read out from said tapeshaped recording medium according to a detection of said marker by said fast access means, onto a particular file;

data display means (display unit 8 disclosed in col. 8, lines 22-33) for displaying said material data registered on said file; and

editing list making means (editing processing unit 2BC disclosed in col. 12, lines 9-20) for generating an edit list of said material data by using said material data displayed on said data display means. However, Yamamoto does not specifically disclose the newly added limitation wherein a plurality of said markers is recorded on said tape-shaped medium for a specified instant, each marker includes time data, frame data, and an incremented data serial number code and is recorded for a specified number of frames.

Murakami et al teaches an editing system having mark identification code having time code including time data and frame data and incremented serial number code so

Application/Control Number: 09/601,151

Art Unit: 2616

that the time code of the first, that is, the time code at the time when the marking is made, can be obtained (from col. 9, line 21 to col. 10, line 10 of the US 6,654,002 B1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the mark identification code as taught by Murakami et al into Yamamoto's system in order to obtain the time code at the time when the marking is made.

Regarding claim 2, Yamamoto also discloses the claimed that said material data are image data (displaying the video bars disclosed in col. 8, lines 34-50).

Regarding claim 3, Yamamoto further discloses the claimed that said data display means displays the editing list defined with the material data specified by a given input manipulation from among said displayed material data at the position specified by given input manipulation on the time axis (displaying the video bars disclosed in col. 8, lines 34-50).

Method claims 4-6 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims 1-3, respectively.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4725. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTQ